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Foreword

The management of heart attack has changed beyond all recognition over the last thirty years so a review of the Cardiac Care Unit and its functions is timely.

When I was a house physician, CCUs were a novelty and certainly not uniformly available across the country. The only treatments available were the defibrillator (in hospitals not ambulances) and diamorphine.

It was not until the 1980s that thrombolysis began to take hold and not until the advent of the National Service Framework for Coronary Heart Disease in 2000 did this treatment became universally and rapidly available which heralded the widespread and long overdue development of cardiology expertise in district hospitals.

Later, when it became clear that primary angioplasty offered better outcomes, fewer complications and shorter lengths of stay, the centralisation of heart attack care in Heart Attack Centres challenged this devolution requiring collaboration between hospitals.

The concept of the Heart Attack Centre is a trifle misleading since most deal primarily with ST elevation heart attacks whereas the majority of attacks are non ST elevation cases often managed in less than ideal fashion in Medical Assessment Units. These so-called minor heart attacks are in fact anything but. Data from the Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project (MINAP) shows that at 30 days and beyond, their outlook is now less good than for ST elevation cases.

We know from a range of data that these cases together with other acute cardiac cases are better managed by cardiology staff and it is time that systems are developed to achieve this.

So this review of the role of the CCU is extremely timely and welcome. Some years ago, Douglas Chamberlain berated us for calling the units Coronary Care Units and insisted on the term Cardiac Care Unit.

This report suggests that he was right.

Professor Sir Roger Boyle CBE
September 2011
Executive Summary

The changing demographics of the UK population have led to increased admission of elderly and more complex cardiac patients. This combined with the reorganisation of care required to provide primary PCI across cardiac networks, has caused a significant change in the acute cardiology workload for all acute hospitals.

In this document we present evidence that patients presenting with acute cardiac conditions who are managed in specialised cardiac wards have demonstrably better outcomes. However, a significant proportion of such patients are not currently managed within a cardiac service, leading to greater morbidity and mortality, and increased costs to the NHS.

The British Cardiovascular Society recommends that patients presenting with acute cardiac conditions should be managed by a specialist, multi-disciplinary cardiac team and have access to key cardiac investigations and interventions, at all times. All hospitals admitting unselected acute medical patients should have an appropriately sized, staffed and equipped Acute Cardiac Care Unit, where high risk patients with a primary cardiac diagnosis should be managed. Access to these Acute Cardiac Care Units should be open to all high risk cardiac patients and in particular, should not be restricted to patients with ACS.
Introduction

The development of coronary care units (CCUs) in the mid 20\textsuperscript{th} century was a major advance in cardiology practice as it allowed the concentration of patients with ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) in an area with specialist monitoring, nursing and medical care. This became particularly important as the medical management of STEMI became more aggressive and specialised. The development of primary angioplasty (PPCI) programs for STEMI following Roger Boyle’s report ‘Mending hearts and Brains’ in 2006\cite{1} has led to a further shift in the role of the CCU. Some units no longer admit STEMI patients, while in PPCI centres the concentrated influx of patients previously treated across a network has placed CCU beds and staff under considerable pressure.

However, other factors are also at work in changing and increasing the workload of acute cardiology and the development of PPCI cannot be considered in isolation. Important drivers for change include:

1. The changing demographics of the population with an increasing proportion of elderly patients presenting acutely with complex cardiac problems In particular, the increasing detection of non ST elevation MI through the use of high sensitivity troponin and the evidence that this impacts on outcomes;\cite{2}
2. The unmet needs of patients with acute heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and other conditions that cause major haemodynamic compromise;
3. The availability of new valvular interventions suitable for the elderly and for those with significant co-morbidities;\cite{3}
4. The reduction in the incidence of ST elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI).\cite{4}

The net result is that CCUs remain busy but that the nature of the workload is changing with admission of older, sicker and more complex patients. In practical terms, units are no longer CCUs but are better described as Acute Cardiac Care Units.

The mode of delivery of acute cardiac care is important. There is good evidence that when acute cardiac care is delivered by cardiologists on a cardiology ward, outcomes are improved.\cite{5-7} It follows that all hospitals accepting acute medical admissions should have access to an Acute Cardiac Care Unit with appropriate staffing, medical and nursing expertise, where unstable patients in the acute phase of their ischaemic syndrome, heart failure, arrhythmia or other major haemodynamic disturbance can be managed.\cite{5,6} To deal with all these patient groups effectively cardiology services must be well organised,
appropriately resourced and efficient, and this presents a significant challenge for NHS Trusts.

The importance of Acute Cardiac Care

Roughly 30% of the acute medical take is comprised of patients with a primary cardiac problem.[8] The majority of these acute cardiac patients are admitted to District General Hospitals, under the initial care of acute or general physicians. The advent of PPCI centres has had little impact on this as STEMI patients comprise a limited and decreasing proportion of the acute cardiac workload.[4]

The organisation and provision of care for all acute cardiac conditions has been considered by the BCS Working Group including staffing, physical location, equipment and the role of specialist nurses and cardiac physiologists.
1. Ischaemic Heart Disease/Acute Coronary Syndromes (ACS)

1.1 Patient population

In 2009-10, there were 31,412 STEMI and an estimated 100,000 NSTEMI in England and Wales.[5] Overall ACS mortality is falling, but 30-day mortality for NSTEMI remains similar to that of STEMI patients (Figure 1, data from England and Wales).[5] The incidence of STEMI in the UK is also falling, but in contrast the numbers of NSTEMI recorded are rising, despite many not being captured in the MINAP dataset.[5,9] Of those that are recorded, less than 50% are admitted to a dedicated cardiac facility (CCU/specialist cardiac ward).[9] This implies that many high risk NSTEMI patients are not being managed in an appropriate specialist environment.

![Figure 1. 30 day mortality from STEMI & NSTEMI in England & Wales 2003-2010. Figure modified from MINAP Ninth Public Report 2010. (Note: data for 2009-10 reflect first 9 months only and may be revised).](image-url)

Despite this, the majority of patients currently admitted to acute cardiac care units for monitoring and treatment are suffering from ACS. The proportion of patients admitted with STEMI and NSTEMI will vary depending on the local arrangements for provision of PPCI services, although many units not providing on-site PPCI will continue to receive such patients transferred from their local PPCI centre within 12 hours of admission. The
expanding range of patients requiring acute cardiac care will inevitably place additional pressure on the already limited beds traditionally used for the management of ACS.

1.2 STEMI patients

In 2009-10, 63% of eligible STEMI patients received PPCI in England and Wales, an increase from 44% in 2008-9 and from only 22% in 2007-8.[5,9] In 2011, PPCI networks cover approximately 95% of the population of England, as predicted in the final report of the National Infarct Audit Programme (NIAP).[10] Thrombolysis will continue to be utilised in some more remote areas, where geography impacts on transit times to local PPCI centres (Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Use of reperfusion treatment for patients with a final diagnosis of STEMI in England & Wales 2003-2010. Figure modified from MINAP Ninth Public Report 2010. (Note: data for 2009-10 reflect first 9 months only and may be revised).](image)

Optimal management of patients with STEMI is summarised in ESC/EACTS guidance [11] and is the subject of a NICE clinical guideline currently in development.

Key features include:

- Direct paramedic transfer to PPCI centre or timely inter-hospital transfer
- PPCI with first medical contact-to-balloon time <120 minutes
- Monitoring on CCU (including for repatriated patients)
- Early initiation of secondary prevention and cardiac rehabilitation

Some patients will require prolonged CCU stay for:
- Invasive pressure monitoring
- Circulatory or respiratory support
- Clinical instability (arrhythmias etc.)
- Pending further revascularisation

The majority of patients undergoing uncomplicated PPCI may be safely discharged home within 48-72 hours,[12] without need for further prolonged in-hospital stay, and it is likely that only the first 12-24 hours of this will need to be spent on the CCU.

STEMI patients receiving thrombolysis also require monitoring, availability of investigations and access to cardiological expertise, including cardiac rehabilitation. 30% of thrombolysed STEMs will fail to reperfuse, and are best managed by immediate rescue PCI.[13] The remainder should undergo coronary angiography with a view to revascularisation as recommended current ESC/EACTS guidance [11] and therefore may need transfer to a PCI centre.

1.3 NSTEACS patients – NSTEMI and Unstable Angina

The NSTEACS population comprises a heterogeneous patient group, varying from high risk cases with elevated troponin, dynamic ECG changes and multiple risk factors to those with chest pain with none of these features. Those proven to have NSTEMI have a comparable mortality to STEMI patients and warrant Acute Cardiac Care Unit admission. The established role of chest pain specialist/ACS nurses is critical to improvements in care for NSTEMI patients, by bringing them to the early attention of cardiologists and thereby facilitating access to specialist services and shortening inpatient stay.[14]

Analysis of MINAP data demonstrates important differences in outcomes of NSTEMI patients when initially admitted to specialist cardiac units (CCU or dedicated cardiology wards) compared with non-specialised facilities including general medical wards (Tables 1,2). Therefore the recommendation for routine use of a dedicated acute cardiac care unit for all NSTEMI admissions can be based on economic grounds (shorter length of hospital stay), better access to care (higher angiography rates and use of appropriate secondary prevention pre-discharge) and most importantly, outcome (both crude and adjusted 30-day mortality favours patients admitted to a specialised unit).[9]
Table 1. Differences in medications for secondary prevention prescribed at discharge in England & Wales 2008-2009 (from MINAP data). Patients divided by admission to CCU. Values quoted as % receiving specified medication. Data derived from reference [9].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary prevention at discharge</th>
<th>Admitted to CCU?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>94.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel/thienopyridine</td>
<td>90.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betablocker</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor/ARB</td>
<td>85.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Differences in NSTEMI outcomes in England & Wales 2008-2009 (from MINAP data). Hospitals divided into quartiles by proportion of NSTEMI cases admitted to CCU. Length of stay reported as median (25th-75th percentile). After correction for confounding variables, length of stay less than median (6.5 days) fell by quartile: Q2 relative risk 1.21 (95% CI 1.08-1.25), Q3 RR 1.32 (1.28-1.35) and Q4 RR 1.62 (1.58-1.67); p<0.001 for all comparisons. Compared with admission to general medical facility, and after adjustment for age, gender, risk factors and admission medication, relative risk of 30-day mortality for NSTEMI patients admitted to CCU compared with general medical facility was 0.88 (0.82-0.94); p<0.001. Cox regression analysis to adjust for confounding factors demonstrated significantly reduced 30-day mortality for those in Q4 compared with other quartiles RR 0.78 (0.76-0.81); p<0.001. Data derived from reference [9].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quartile</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients admitted to CCU</td>
<td>≤ 27%</td>
<td>28-47%</td>
<td>47-72%</td>
<td>&gt; 72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay (days)</td>
<td>7.5 (4.9-13.0)</td>
<td>6.8 (4.6-11.8)</td>
<td>6.5 (4.3-11.2)</td>
<td>5.6 (3.6-9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiography performed</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-day mortality</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Optimal care for NSTEACS is detailed in NICE guidance.[15] Many NSTEMI patients will require some or all of the facilities necessary for the routine care of STEMI patients, including access to early-phase cardiac rehabilitation, invasive/non-invasive monitoring and echocardiography.

Optimal care includes:

- Early risk stratification: GRACE*[16] or TIMI risk score.[17]  
  *The GRACE score may be easily downloaded to handheld devices for bedside use (http://www.outcomes.umassmed.org/grace/acs_risk.aspx), and has been recently validated in unselected admission cohorts.[18]
- Monitoring on CCU.[19]
- Triage to early coronary angiography (followed by revascularisation) within 24 hours for high-risk patients (6-month mortality >3.0%, identified by risk scoring systems).[11] others should undergo angiography within 72-96 hours of hospital admission.[11,15]
2. Acute Heart Failure

Heart Failure is increasing in prevalence as the demography of Western populations changes to include more elderly people. It is a common condition with a prevalence in the general population of 1%, rising to >10% in the over 75s, and an incidence overall of 1 per 1000 population per annum rising to 25 per 1000 per annum in the over 75s.[19] In 2009-10 Hospital Episode Statistics show that there were 74,796 admissions to hospital with a primary diagnosis of heart failure in England alone, with an additional 125,935 patients in whom heart failure contributed significantly to the admission (ie coded as second or third diagnosis).[21]

Epidemiological data confirm that heart failure has a high mortality, but until recently, robust outcome data for patients hospitalised with heart failure in the UK has been lacking. In the last year, the National Heart Failure Audit for England and Wales reported a 30% one year mortality rate for patients admitted to hospital with heart failure in 2009-10 with an in-patient case fatality rate of 10%.[6] In a parallel Scottish audit during the same period, in-hospital mortality was 18%.[22] These results are considerably worse than contemporary US or European data, where in-patient mortality rates are between 4 and 6.8%.[23] The Heart Failure audit mortality statistics also contrast with data from UK centres where there are well developed heart failure services, with greater access to heart failure specialists for in-patients. These centres have lower current mortality and show a marked improvement over the last ten years.[24]

In the UK the poor outcomes for heart failure, particularly after hospital admission, now contrast markedly with that of its main precursor myocardial infarction where one year mortality rates after discharge have fallen by over 30% in the last six years (Figure 1). However, the revolution in MI care started with the development of CCUs. Although initially designed to reduce arrhythmic death post-MI through monitoring and prompt defibrillation, the CCU concentrated patients with acute MI in one place, allowing their care to be overseen by cardiologists and delivered by specialist nursing staff. This then opened the door for rapid implementation of new treatments, such as thrombolysis, intervention and device therapies.

Management of heart failure with a multi-professional approach improves outcomes for patients, and has been adopted into the latest NICE guidance and quality standards.[25] In particular, the role of specialist heart failure nurses in chronic disease management has been emphasised.[26] However, it is also the case that the in-patient management of patients with acute heart failure by cardiologists leads to lower mortality rates. Data from the
2009-10 heart failure audit in England and Wales confirm this.[6] Admission under a general medical team was associated with a 30% higher one year mortality, even after adjusting for known confounding variables. Access to in-patient cardiology care was associated with an in-hospital absolute mortality rate of 6% as compared with 12% for non cardiology care, with cardiology care being an independent predictor of a better outcome after adjusting for age and known confounders. The improved outcomes persisted in this group out to one year of follow up - 16.2% versus 32% mortality for general medical care. Patients admitted under cardiology had more disease modifying treatment prescribed and were more likely to have heart failure specialist nursing follow up.[6,27]

![Cumulative survival graph](image)

Figure 3 One year mortality by place of care. Data from the National heart Failure Audit 2008-9 [27]

In the UK therefore, the key to optimal heart failure care for those admitted with decompensated or acute heart failure is being seen by a cardiology team while in hospital. Many are admitted from acute assessment units to general or geriatric medicine and never have the opportunity to be managed by specialists in their primary condition.

Reconfiguring CCUs as acute cardiac care units is an obvious way to bring heart failure patients under the care of Cardiologists and specialist cardiac nursing. Current acute cardiac care unit capacity in the UK could not deal with all heart failure admissions, but it is reasonable to propose that all those with acutely decompensated heart failure are managed in a high dependency setting. Patients with NSTEACS often use the cardiac care unit for part of their stay, combined with a spell on the general cardiology ward, and a similar model could be adopted for heart failure with immediate benefit to length of stay and mortality.
Such an initiative would have to be combined with hospitals having robust acute heart failure pathways to channel those who would benefit most from cardiological care and to make sure that any patients remaining in the Acute Medicine or Care of the Elderly sector have input from Cardiology during their admission and have subsequent access to multi-professional follow up post discharge.
3. Acute Arrhythmias

There are many patients with acute cardiac rhythm problems that benefit from acute cardiac care. Such patients fall into 3 main arrhythmia groups:

1) Acute Atrial Fibrillation & atrial flutter  
2) Regular tachycardia – both narrow & broad QRS  
3) Symptomatic Bradycardia

3.1 Atrial Fibrillation (AF)

AF is the commonest arrhythmia worldwide and is increasingly recognised as a major cause of both morbidity and mortality, particularly from stroke which is increased 5-fold.[28,29] AF (or atrial flutter) was the primary cause of admission for 92,258 patients in England in 2009-10, with an average age of 70 and mean length of stay of 4 days. 53,971 patients were over 75 years of age.[21]

Given the age preponderance and the large numbers of admissions with AF, most patients in acute hospitals are cared for by general physicians and may not be admitted to an acute cardiac care unit, or indeed to any cardiology ward, even if there is haemodynamic compromise. Patients presenting with uncontrolled AF are best managed by specialists,[30] with resulting greater adherence to guideline based therapy. The diagnostic and therapeutic services required to manage these patients are also best accessed through admission to an acute cardiac unit, where patients come under the care of a cardiologist. Arrhythmia specialist nurses can provide an efficient link to cardiology services, directing patients from acute assessment units or A&E to cardiac wards.

3.2 Regular Tachycardia (narrow and broad complex)

Admission with these arrhythmias usually causes symptoms and is a medical emergency. There were 12,996 admissions with supraventricular tachycardia in England in 2009-10, with a lower mean age than AF patients (55 years) and shorter length of stay (mean 2.4 days).[21] Broad complex tachycardias, thought to be ventricular in origin (VT), were less frequent (4899 in 2009-10), but patients were older (mean age 66 years) and required a longer stay in hospital (mean 6.9 days). All these patients require admission to an acute cardiac care unit where monitoring and full resuscitation facilities are available.

Patients with VT often have significant structural heart disease and require further investigation & treatment on the same admission. This may include coronary angiography,
echocardiography, and device implantation. Patients may require urgent transfer to a more specialist unit when definitive treatment is not available locally, but will require monitoring prior to transfer.

The increasing number of patients in the community with Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators in-situ increases the complexity of patients who present to hospital. Patients may now be admitted having survived a VT storm, after multiple shocks from the ICD, which will then require careful interrogation and reprogramming. Local arrangements must be in place to ensure that there is availability of a cardiac technician with experience in programming.

### 3.3 Bradycardia

In 2009-10, 8383 patients were admitted to hospital in England with a high degree of heart block.[21] An additional 2272 patients were admitted with sinus node disease. Both of these groups tend to be elderly (mean age 76 – 77 years) and thus significant comorbidity is common. Symptomatic bradycardia requires admission to an acute cardiac care unit for monitoring and urgent rhythm management. Many patients will require temporary transvenous pacing and subsequent permanent pacemaker insertion.

The reduced need for temporary pacing post acute MI has led to a reduction in exposure and subsequent deskilling of general medical trainees.[31] Consultant physicians are usually also unhappy to perform temporary pacing. Although relatively infrequently required, these cases often present as an emergency and local arrangements need to be in place to ensure appropriately skilled staff are available. Where District General Hospitals do not have an on-call cardiology rota (registrar or consultant), it will be necessary for there to be a network protocol to provide an emergency pacing service, either by specialists cross-covering across hospitals or by transfer to another unit. Whatever the solution, a formal arrangement must be in place. Management of all patients with a temporary pacemaker should be in a monitored bed on an acute cardiac care unit.
4. Other conditions requiring admission to an Acute Cardiac Care Unit

4.1 Pulmonary embolism

Pulmonary embolism (PE) is a relatively common cardiovascular emergency, occurring in 0.4% of hospital admissions in the USA.[32] In 2009-10 there were nearly 20,000 admissions for PE in England.[21] High risk PE presenting with shock or hypotension has a PE related mortality in excess of 15%, and the treatment recommended includes circulatory support with inotropes, thrombolysis, catheter fragmentation of proximal thrombus and in some cases surgical embolectomy. Patients with high risk PE are therefore best managed in an acute cardiac care unit, with easy access to investigations such as CT pulmonary angiography and echocardiography as required - and should initially be monitored there even if transfer to a surgical unit is contemplated.[32]

4.2 Infective endocarditis

In 2009-10, there were 1863 admissions with infective endocarditis in England, occupying over 50,000 bed days with a mean length of stay of around 28 days.[21] Mortality within 90 days of admission is 16.7%.[21]

Deterioration of valvular function in infective endocarditis may be a slow gradual process, and assessing the need for surgical intervention is not always straightforward. Although many patients with infective endocarditis are treated in hospitals without onsite cardiac surgery, the pivotal role of surgery and the complexity of timing procedures necessitates that cardiac surgeons and microbiologists are involved in the management from the outset.

All patients with endocarditis should therefore be managed from diagnosis to discharge under the care of a cardiologist as part of a team approach with microbiology and cardiothoracic surgical input, with access to essential investigations whenever they are required e.g. trans-thoracic and/or trans-oesophageal echocardiography. This approach leads to significant reduction in mortality.[33] Patients with acute complications of endocarditis such as decompensated heart failure or uncontrolled infection should be managed in high dependency beds i.e. in an acute cardiac care unit, pending transfer for surgery.
4.3 Pericardial effusion

Pericardial tamponade, although rare, is a cardiological emergency with a high mortality.[34] Pericardiocentesis is a highly skilled, complex procedure which requires specialist training.[35] Ideally, this should be available at all times in all acute cardiac care units. If not, formal arrangements must be in place to ensure emergency access to this procedure on a network basis.

4.4 Acute Thoracic Aortic Emergencies

"Classic" aortic dissection, also known a "class 1" aortic dissection in the classification proposed by the working group of the European Society of Cardiology in 2001,[36] can be further divided into Types A and B (Stanford). Type A, involving the ascending and descending aorta is a surgical emergency requiring rapid transfer to a cardiothoracic surgical centre, but occasionally patients require initial stabilisation (and additional diagnostic measures such as trans-thoracic and/or trans-oesophageal echocardiography) in an acute cardiac care unit. More severely compromised patients are managed in ITU. After surgery, patients are often transferred back to CCU in the referring hospital for close monitoring of blood pressure. In Type B dissections close blood pressure control is the mainstay of treatment for most patients and this often requires intravenous infusions of vasodilators combined with beta blockade. These patients are best managed on an acute cardiac care unit.[36]

A local diagnostic imaging pathway should be in place for all these patients, with a network solution for triage and early surgical intervention when required.

4.5 Other conditions which may require acute cardiac care

Hypertensive emergencies
Overdoses which require cardiac monitoring.
Adult congenital heart disease with acute decompensation.
5. Requirements for an Acute Cardiac Care Unit

The successful operation of an Acute Cardiac Care Unit as envisaged by the British Cardiovascular Society relies on appropriate provision of beds, monitoring facilities, staffing levels and access to expertise (medical, nursing and technical) and diagnostics. Precise requirements for staffing and organisation of Acute Cardiac Care Units were outlined by the Working Group on Acute Cardiac Care of the European Society of Cardiology. Suggested bed numbers for CCUs in the UK were also included in the publication by the British Cardiac Society and Royal College of Physicians in 2005.[37] The size of the unit not only depends on the catchment area of the hospital in question but also the availability of other high-dependency units within the hospital. Appropriate facilities for invasive and non-invasive monitoring are mandatory, as well as 24/7 availability of diagnostic tests and this is covered in detail below. Close liaison with ITU outreach or ALERT teams is appropriate for more unstable patients requiring circulatory and/or respiratory support in a critical care level 2-3 facility.

5.1 Size and Provision of Acute Cardiac Care Units

Although the majority of UK hospitals have an acute cardiac care unit in some form (208/221 in 2006 with median 6 beds), most are ‘stand-alone’ units not associated with a larger cardiac facility. With current rates of ACS, many hospitals will be unable to provide CCU care for all such patients, even if STEMI patients are triaged to neighbouring centres for PPCI. Therefore, in order to adequately care for ACS patients alone, most hospitals would require larger units. Centres undertaking PPCI face the additional problem of having to accommodate patients referred in from around a network, whether they are managed on a treat and return basis or treat and keep. The need to transfer such patients rapidly to and from the catheter labs produces a particular strain on CCU beds and staff.

The proposed shift in emphasis to the provision of all acute cardiological care in a single area, with improved access to monitoring, investigation and specialist expertise, will require considerable expansion of existing CCUs. Such a goal may, in part, be achieved by the reorganisation of existing cardiac beds, but if patients currently managed on general medical wards are to receive specialist cardiac care it is likely that further reorganisation of bed stock will be required in many cases. Increasing the level of care available for these beds or ward areas may not be seen as an attractive option in the straitened circumstances of the current NHS, however based on the measurable differences in outcomes highlighted between
‘specialised’ and ‘non-specialised’ cardiac care in the MINAP dataset and the National Heart Failure Audit, there are clear benefits to be achieved.

It should also be noted that the acute cardiac care unit is not the appropriate place to manage high dependency general medical patients from other specialities with primarily non-cardiac complaints, for instance sepsis or impending hypovolaemic shock, for which a separate medical HDU facility is required. All Acute Cardiac Care Units should have protocols in place to call on additional specialist expertise for procedures such as haemofiltration and invasive ventilation that may require transfer to an intensive care unit.

5.2 Medical Staffing

The shift towards more patients with acute cardiac conditions being managed by cardiologists will inevitably require an increase in the consultant workforce. In 2002, the Fifth Report on the provision of services for patients with heart disease stated that in order to provide a full cardiology on call rota, all District General Hospitals should have at least 5 cardiologists and Tertiary Centres double this figure.[37] It suggested a minimum of one cardiologist per 50,000 population, which in 2002 equated to 1194 cardiologists in the UK, but also noted that this number would need to rise to 1500 cardiologists by 2010 due to the increasing workload, complexity of practice and patient expectation. Over recent years the consultant workforce has been expanding by about 5% per year and there are currently about 1200 cardiologists in the UK. This expansion will need to continue if consultant-led specialist care is to be delivered to patients with acute cardiac problems. Numbers of specialist trainees are currently relatively static, and the Centre for Workforce Intelligence believes that the number of trainees is broadly in balance with expected availability of consultant posts.

5.3 Nursing and Workforce

In tertiary centres and those providing a PPCI service there is increasing focus on the need for skilled personnel to manage people presenting with STEMI. At one time the remit of the coronary care unit team alone, these patients are now managed across the pathway by a multi-professional group which will include cardiac physiologists, nurses, and radiographers as well as medical teams (cardiac and critical care). For this reason close working and integration of cardiac catheter laboratory and coronary care teams is a model that appears to serve exceptionally well, with protocols in place for rapid access to critical care when required. Additional skills are required by the nursing staff on acute cardiac care units dealing with PPCI (and PCI in general which has also expanded rapidly into DGHs), for
example to recognise cardiac tamponade after wire exit, retroperitoneal haemorrhage or acute stent thrombosis. Many DGHs which are not designated PPCI Centres will continue to provide rapid access to PCI for the larger NSTEMI population.

5.4 Staff Training and Skill mix

Increasingly complex patients in need of increasingly complex intervention require a healthcare team with a highly developed and developing skill base to provide immediate care, to facilitate patients’ future self management and to refer appropriately to other specialist groups both within and outside the hospital environment to support long term care. Training in techniques such as IABP counterpulsation, CPAP, temporary pacing and invasive monitoring are required, as is full Advanced Life Support Training. Strong clinical leadership for the nursing team is essential, as is a local programme of continuing professional development to supplement any specialist professional qualifications.

In 2010 the British Association for Critical Care Nursing (BACCN) reviewed the evidence that suggests that a rich nursing skill mix reduces adverse events [38] and there is also evidence to suggest a link between the number of nurses, patient mortality and length of stay.[39] The BACCN standard for nursing in a critical care environment such as an Acute Cardiac Care Unit is that the nurse patient ratio should not fall below one nurse to two patients.[38] This view is echoed by the ESC [19] who recommend that a cardiac care unit employ only registered nurses, with at least 75% having training in cardiac care.

The current context of acute cardiac care in the UK is such that there is no diurnal variation in caseload; a patient in crisis is in need of intervention and specialist support 24 hours a day. There is evidence that patients admitted overnight have a higher risk of mortality [40, 41] and again there is an association between staffing levels and patient outcomes. In one study patients in an environment with higher levels of registered nurse staffing were less likely to die whilst in hospital [42]. In addition where there is a need to staff other areas (e.g. an angiography suite) or where there is a ‘cardiac outreach’ service to support the management of ACS, STEMI or acutely ill cardiac patients in other areas, staffing levels should be organised to reflect the additional demands on staffing. The BCS do not therefore support any variation in staffing levels between day and night in an acute cardiac care unit.

Where ACS or Heart Failure patients or people with complex arrhythmias and devices are admitted via medical ‘take’ there should be a clear and rapid route of referral to the cardiology service. The role of the specialist nurse in supporting early referral and expediting intervention or management for these patients is fundamental to this process.
5.5 Diagnostic requirements for Acute Cardiac Care (2011-2020)

The increasing role of front end diagnostics in the rapid triage of cardiovascular patients presenting acutely, must form part of the strategy for forward planning of acute cardiac care. With the increase in focus on rapid hospital turnaround, traditional models of diagnostic provision (e.g. in hours only services) are no longer acceptable. As cardiac units further develop their role in the treatment of a broader range of acute cardiology presentations, the need for access to the appropriate range of diagnostic tests at all times will increase. Recognising that this development is evolutionary, some of the projections are aspirational while others represent basic core requirements. In considering each technology, not just the physical machinery but also the service requirements underpinning the provision of any specific diagnostic test must be considered.

5.6 ECG based diagnostics

5.6.1 Electrocardiography

Electrocardiography is a basic tool in cardiovascular medicine; it should be available immediately on every unit, performed by someone with formal training in ECG lead placement and read by someone of suitable experience in interpretation. Likewise continuous ECG monitoring is required for patients judged to be at risk of cardiac arrhythmias after an acute cardiac presentation. The facility for central monitoring is an important component of every CCU. The provision of telemetry elsewhere in the hospital allows extended expert remote monitoring for patients whose primary problem may not be cardiac but in whom cardiac complications are possible.

5.7 Imaging

5.7.1 X-ray Fluoroscopy

All acute cardiac units should ideally have access to emergency fluoroscopy for temporary trans-venous pacing and positioning of IABPs at all times. The C-arm should be operated by a radiographer experienced in its use and with the requirements for temporary pacing. Where not available at present, a formal network solution must be in place to offer these services.
5.7.2 Coronary Angiography Suite

Not all hospitals offering acute cardiac care services run a coronary angiography suite. ACS patients managed in such units must be transferred to centres possessing the capability of coronary angiography and PCI.

Some hospitals have access to coronary angiography facilities either in a dedicated cardiac laboratory or a shared vascular cardiac facility, but without the capability of performing PCI. Other hospitals may perform PCI during normal working hours only, covering out of hours for complications. Some centres (or occasionally linked hospitals) provide a full PPCI service.

All hospitals should be part of a network protocol, whereby, where geographically appropriate, patients with STEMI are rapidly diagnosed and transferred for PPCI. It should be emphasised that where a patient has been admitted to a non-PPCI centre it is essential for the ambulance service to provide an emergency transfer of the patient to the PPCI centre. NSTEACS will continue to be initially managed in all acute hospitals, ideally on their Acute Cardiac Care Unit, with transfer to an angioplasty centre where required for timely PCI according to guidelines.[11,15]

5.7.3 Trans-thoracic Echocardiography (TTE)

The provision of cardiac ultrasound is fundamental to the diagnosis of many heart diseases but in particular acute heart failure, suspected cardiac tamponade, complications post myocardial infarction, acute valvular heart disease including endocarditis and acute disease of the ascending aorta. [15,33,36,43]

TTE of suitable quality should be available to all patients who require it. Where this is not currently achievable and in particular where patients may require urgent or emergent out of hours scanning a formal network protocol for emergency echocardiography must be in place.

Out of hours TTE should not be undertaken by junior members of the medical team unless they have British Society of Echocardiography (BSE) accreditation, have undergone a documented locally approved competency assessment or can have the images rapidly reviewed by someone with the appropriate expertise.

TTE should always be performed to an acceptable standard using a high quality ultrasound machine and within a departmental structure that maintains quality control. BSE personal and departmental accreditation is a benchmark of quality which encompasses all these factors.[44] An acute cardiac care unit should only operate where there is an
echocardiography service that is either BSE accredited or meets the principle standards of BSE / EAE[45] or similar accreditation.

Where full TTE cannot be performed, in patients with acute circulatory collapse, there is evolving interest in limited echocardiograms called “FOCUS” (Focused Cardiac Ultrasound in Emergency Setting).[46] Whilst the BSE provides a clear lead in the importance of performing a full echocardiographic assessment, a number of groups have developed programs which promote a variety of approaches to performing more limited studies. There are strict limitations to the scope of such studies which are only suitable for identification of severe LV dysfunction, large pericardial or pleural effusion and right ventricular dilatation (PE). Where FOCUS studies are undertaken they should always be incorporated into the quality assurance program of the echocardiography department.

FOCUS should not replace standard echocardiography and should be seen as a means of facilitating fast decision making in the acute setting. Patients undergoing this form of echocardiography should generally undergo standard trans-thoracic echocardiography as soon as practical.

5.7.4 Trans-oesophageal Echocardiography (TOE)
TOE is a vital tool in the assessment of a variety of cardiac diagnosis. Within the context of acute care the most frequent indications are in the diagnosis of endocarditis and in searching for structural complications; to rule out intra-cardiac thrombus particularly in the setting of AF and in the assessment of acute aortic disease.[28,36,43]

TOE of good quality should be available to all patients who require it. Where this is not achievable and in particular where patients may require out of hours TOE a network solution should be in place.

As with TTE the appropriate governance and quality assurance arrangements should be in place for both in hours and out of hours TOE.

5.8 Functional Cardiac Assessment or Advanced Anatomical Imaging
Despite the increased use of other assessment modalities for ischaemic heart disease, exercise ECG remains a vital investigation in the acute assessment of patients with a variety of heart diseases[15,47] and should be available in every unit. However the advanced assessment of cardiac function either in relation to reversible ischaemia or myocardial viability is vital in the assessment and triage of complex coronary patients, particularly in
those with complex presentations. Local expertise varies between hospitals but a modern cardiac assessment unit would be expected to have access to stress echocardiography, nuclear perfusion imaging or cardiac MRI and preferably more than one of these modalities.

Cardiac gated CT scanning is an emerging technology. Its main use is currently in the diagnosis of chronic chest pain and particularly in the exclusion of CAD in low risk individuals.[47] There is also an increasing data set supporting the use of CT as an acute triage tool but this has not yet entered guidelines in the UK.[48]

5.9 Cardiac Device Management

With the increasing use and complexity of cardiac devices it is important that all units are able to manage device complications out of hours and program and interrogate devices in hours. Where an out of hours service is not available a formal network solution should be in place.

1) Brady-pacing. To check pacing and sensing (thresholds, under and over-sensing). Detect arrhythmic events from pacemaker recordings.
2) AICD. Override the device in the appropriate clinical context (e.g. VT storm) Diagnose inappropriate shocks, to check pacing and sensing (both thresholds, under and over-sensing), deactivate the device in the case of terminal care or dying patients. To deliver an emergency shock via the device
3) Biventricular devices. To check pacing and sensing (both thresholds under and over-sensing). To deactivate Coronary Sinus lead in the case of significant diaphragmatic pacing
4) Implantable Loop Recorders. Device interrogation

5.10 Electrophysiological studies and RF ablation

Electrophysiological study and ablation are becoming a major component of the management of acute arrhythmias. At present they remain specialist services and as such fall outside the expectations of a general acute cardiac care unit. Protocols should be in place for the emergency transfer of patients to a unit providing EP/RF.

5.11 Laboratory based diagnostics

The use of biomarkers is now a vital component in the diagnosis of NSTEACS[15] and increasingly heart failure.[25] All acute cardiac care units should have access to urgent troponin assessment, whether this be troponin I, troponin T or high sensitivity Troponin
These biomarkers should be provided by a locally agreed protocol and with an acceptable margin of error.

Likewise serum natriuretic peptides (BNP or NTpro-terminal BNP) are increasingly important, and there is good evidence for their use in triage for patients admitted with suspected heart failure.[25,50]

Where near patient testing is integrated into care, rather than laboratory tests, it should be with appropriate evidence of accuracy and regular calibration.
Conclusions: Acute Cardiac Care Unit rather than Coronary Care Unit

- Patients presenting with cardiac conditions managed in specialised cardiac wards have demonstrably better outcomes.

- A significant proportion of these patients are not currently managed within a cardiac service, leading to a greater morbidity and mortality, and cost to the NHS.

- Patients presenting with acute cardiac conditions should be managed by a specialist, multi-disciplinary cardiac team and have access to key cardiac investigations and interventions, at all times.

- All hospitals admitting unselected acute medical patients should have an appropriately sized, staffed and equipped Acute Cardiac Care Unit, where high risk patients with a primary cardiac diagnosis should be managed.

- All high risk cardiac patients must have access to Acute Cardiac Care Units, and access should not be restricted to patients with ACS.
## Appendix 1: Key features of the Acute Cardiac Care Unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essential</th>
<th>Desirable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triage from Medical Assessment Units and A&amp;E</strong></td>
<td>System in place for rapid triage of cardiac patients - the higher dependency patients to the acute cardiac care unit, and the others to a cardiology ward.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provision of acute specialised cardiac care</strong></td>
<td>All high risk patients to be admitted to acute cardiac care unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access to specialised Cardiological input via multi-disciplinary team</strong></td>
<td>All patients on acute cardiac care unit to be reviewed daily by senior member of Cardiology medical team, 7 days a week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All patients on acute cardiac care unit to be under the care of Cardiology medical team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nursing Staffing levels</strong></td>
<td>Staffing in the acute cardiac care unit should not fall below a ratio of one registered nurse to two patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medical Staffing</strong></td>
<td>There should be 24 hour access to support from a Consultant Cardiologist, including emergency pacing and pericardiocentesis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential</td>
<td>Desirable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Trusts must provide an ongoing programme of training incorporating medical, nursing and cardiac physiology teams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostics</td>
<td>24/7 access to CXR, trans-thoracic echocardiography and CT/TOE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit</td>
<td>Participation in mandatory National Audits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>Contributing to the activities of a research network</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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